

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,511	12/16/2005	Kazuhiko Morisawa	09792909-6069	2225	
20050 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ASSAF, I	ASSAF, FAYEZ G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cincitos, in	CHC100, 12 0000 1000				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@sonnenschein.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521,511 MORISAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Favez G. Assaf 2872 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-8 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/08;1/5/07;7/12/06;1/18/05.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

## DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species 1: Figure 1: Claims 2 and 9-12 in the reply filed on 4/23/2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MFEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim 12, reciting the method of forming the light diffusion layer, corresponds to nonelected claim 8. Apparently, the claim has mistakenly been included in the election of the claims. As such, the claim has been withdrawn from further consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kagevama (US 4.865.405).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,511
Art Unit: 2872

Kageyama discloses a screen, characterized by comprising: an optical multilayer film on a absorbing base (3 of Fig. 2), said optical multilayer film (1 and 2 of Fig. 2) being comprised of (2n+1) layers (Table 1 and Table 2), where n is an integer of 1 or more, which have a high reflection property with respect to light in a specific wavelength region and a high transmission property with respect to at least visible light in wavelength regions other than said specific wavelength region (See Fig. 3); wherein said base is transparent and said optical multilayer film is formed on both surfaces of said base (See Fig. 2).

It is noted that the method of forming said optical multilayer film by coating is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,511 Art Unit: 2872

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kageyama in view of Arfsten (US 4,568,578).

Kageyama discloses the claimed invention, except for the method of producing the screen including the first coating step for forming by coating a first optical film having a high refractive index; a second coating step for forming by coating a second optical film having a lower refractive index than that of said first optical film; and said first coating step and said second coating 5 step are alternately conducted; or a first coating step for forming by dipping a first optical film having a high refractive index, on both surfaces of a base to be coated; a second coating step for forming by dipping a second optical film having a lower refractive index than that of said first optical film, on said both surfaces of a base to be coated; and said first coating step and said second coating step are alternately conducted, a step for forming a light absorbing layer for absorbing light which has transmitted through said optical multilayer film, on the outermost layer of one side of said optical multilayer film.

However, Arfsted discloses the method of forming a filter by coating.

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to design the method steps recited above in producing the

Application/Control Number: 10/521,511
Art Unit: 2872

screen since the method is very common, cost efficient and suitable for mass production.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (571) 272-2307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone Allen can be reached on (571) 272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fayez G. Assaf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872

July 5, 2008